Bryant Planning Commission Meeting

Boswell Municipal Complex - City Hall Court Room

210 SW 3rd Street

Date: 04-11-2022 - **Time:** 6:00 PM

Call to Order

Approval of Minutes

1. Planning Commission Meeting Minutes 3/14/2022

- Planning Commission Meeting Minutes 3_14_2022.pdf

Announcements

Director's Report

DRC Report

2. Cornerstone Montessori Christian Academy - 4910 Springhill Road - Special Sign Permit

Southpaw Designs - Requesting Approval for Special Sign Permit - Recommended Approval to PC Contingent upon updated plans being submitted

3. AR Storage Center - 2615 Shady Pine

Charlie Best - Requesting Site Plan Approval - APPROVED

- 0485-COE-01.pdf
- 0485-STW-02.doc
- 0485-PLN-02.pdf

4. Hamilton Family Dentistry - 2422 Springhill Road - New Addition

BASCON General Contractors - Requesting Appoval for Building Addition - APPROVED

- 0536-PLN-02.pdf
- 0536-PLN-01.pdf

5. Grill Pollo - 2006 Reynolds Road - Sign Permit

Ace Sign Company - Requesting Sign Permit Approval - STAFF APPROVED

- 0535-APP-01.pdf

Old Business

New Business

6. **Cornerstone Montessori Christian Academy - 4910 Springhill Road** - - Special Sign Permit

   Southpaw Designs - Requesting Approval for Speical Sign Permit

7. **Proposal to Relieve Traffic and Congestion At Interstion of Reynolds Road and Lowes Shopping Center Drive**

   Vice-Chairman Burgess - Requesting Discussion on Proposal

Adjournments

Bryant Planning Commission Meeting Minutes

Monday, March 14th 2022
Boswell Municipal Complex – City Hall Courtroom
6:00 PM

Agenda

CALL TO ORDER

- Chairman Rick Johnson calls the meeting to order.
- Commissioners Present: Johnson, Burgess, Erwin, Hooten, Arey, Edwards
- Commissioners Absent: Penfield, Statton

ANNOUNCEMENTS

No Announcements.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. **Planning Commission Meeting Minutes 2/14/2022**

   Motion to Approve Minutes made by Commissioner Erwin, Seconded by Commissioner Hooten. Voice Vote, 8 Yays, 0 nays. None Absent.

Vice-Chairman Burgess read the DRC Report.

DRC REPORT

1. **Church's Chicken - 1901 N. Reynolds Road - Replat**

   Kimmley Horn - Requesting Recommendation of Approval for Replat - RECOMMENDED APPROVAL

2. **Crosspointe Park - Farmer's Market**

   Ryan Ingold - Requesting Approval for Farmer's Market at Park - APPROVED

3. **First Southern Baptist Church - New Playground**

   Peter Cunningham - Requesting Approval for New Playground - APPROVED

4. **Casey's Marketing Company - Sign Permit**

   Condray Signs - Requesting Sign Permit Approval - STAFF APPROVED

NEW BUSINESS

1. **Church's Chicken - 1901 N. Reynolds Road - Replat**

   Kimmley Horn - Requesting Recommendation of Approval for Replat

   After brief discussion on the item, Chairman Johnson Called for a roll call vote to approve. 6 yays, 0 nays, Penfield, Statton Absent.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Dana Poindexter gave a brief presentation on community engagement and new updates to the website.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion to Adjourn made by Commissioner Burgess, Seconded by Commissioner Hooten. Voice Vote, 6 Yays, 0 nays. Penfield, Statton Absent. Meeting was adjourned.

_____ _____

Chairman, Rick Johnson *Date*

_____ _____

Secretary, Tracy Picanco *Date*

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

APPLICATION FOR DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PERMIT

33 CFR 325. The proponent agency is CECW-CO-R.

Form Approved - OMB No. 0710-0003 Expires: 31-AUGUST-2013

Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to average 11 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of the collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters, Executive Services and Communications Directorate, Information Management Division and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0710-0003). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. Please DO NOT RETURN your form to either of those addresses. Completed applications must be submitted to the District Engineer having jurisdiction over the location of the proposed activity.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

Authorities: Rivers and Harbors Act, Section 10, 33 USC 403; Clean Water Act, Section 404, 33 USC 1344; Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act, Section 103, 33 USC 1413; Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule 33 CFR 320-332. Principal Purpose: Information provided on this form will be used in evaluating the application for a permit. Routine Uses: This information may be shared with the Department of Justice and other federal, state, and local government agencies, and the public and may be made available as part of a public notice as required by Federal law. Submission of requested information is voluntary, however, if information is not provided the permit application cannot be evaluated nor can a permit be issued. One set of original drawings or good reproducible copies which show the location and character of the proposed activity must be attached to this application (see sample drawings and/or instructions) and be submitted to the District Engineer having jurisdiction over the location of the proposed activity. An application that is not completed in full will be returned.

(ITEMS 1 THRU 4 TO BE FILLED BY THE CORPS)

1. APPLICATION NO.	2. FIELD OFFICE CODE	3. DATE RECEIVED	4. DATE APPLICATION COMPLETE

(ITEMS BELOW TO BE FILLED BY APPLICANT)

5. APPLICANT'S NAME	8. AUTHORIZED AGENT'S NAME AND TITLE (agent is not required)
First - Middle - Last -	First - Charles Middle - F. Last - Best
Company - Arkansas Storage Centers, IX, LLC, Bryant,AR72022	Company - Hurricane Valley Inc
E-mail Address - stuart@finleyandcompany.com	E-mail Address - hvicbest@sbcglobal.net
6. APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:	**9. AGENT'S ADDRESS:**
Address- P.O. Box 10	Address- P.O. Box 118
City - Bryant State - AR Zip - 72089 Country - USA	City - Bryant State - AR Zip - 72089 Country - USA
7. APPLICANT'S PHONE NOs. w/AREA CODE	**10. AGENTS PHONE NOs. w/AREA CODE**
a. Residence b. Business 501-666-1300 c. Fax	a. Residence 501-231-5136 b. Business 501-847-7282 c. Fax

STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZATION

11. I hereby authorize, Charles Best to act in my behalf as my agent in the processing of this application and to furnish, upon request, supplemental information in support of this permit application.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT — DATE: 2/1/22

NAME, LOCATION, AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT OR ACTIVITY

12. PROJECT NAME OR TITLE (see instructions)
Arkansas Storage Centers, IX, LLC

13. NAME OF WATERBODY, IF KNOWN (if applicable)	14. PROJECT STREET ADDRESS (if applicable)
unnamed tributary of Hurricane Creek	Address 2615 Shady Pine
15. LOCATION OF PROJECT Latitude: °N 34.617419° Longitude: °W 92.519811°	City - Bryant State- AR Zip- 72022

16. OTHER LOCATION DESCRIPTIONS, IF KNOWN (see instructions)
State Tax Parcel ID 840-12032-000 Municipality Bryant, AR
Section - 20 Township - 1-S Range - 14 -W

Received February 2, 2022 Enclosure 1

17. DIRECTIONS TO THE SITE

Approximately 1000ft. west of the intersection of Hwy 5 and Springhill Road. Located on the north side of Highway 5.

18. Nature of Activity (Description of project, include all features)

To relocate 250 linear feet of an unnamed wet weather ephemeral stream using a back hoe and bull dozer.

19. Project Purpose (Describe the reason or purpose of the project, see instructions)

To convert a scrub wooded parcel of land into commercial developed property. This would optimize the square footage as part of the large adjacent constructed mini storage lockers.

USE BLOCKS 20-23 IF DREDGED AND/OR FILL MATERIAL IS TO BE DISCHARGED

20. Reason(s) for Discharge

As part of the commercial development of a scrub wooded parcel of land with an unnamed wet weather ephemeral stream cutting across it, the stream would be relocated. Once the stream has been permitted for relocation, the result would be the stream would be lengthen.

21. Type(s) of Material Being Discharged and the Amount of Each Type in Cubic Yards:

Type Amount in Cubic Yards	Type Amount in Cubic Yards	Type Amount in Cubic Yards
approximately 46 CY		

22. Surface Area in Acres of Wetlands or Other Waters Filled (see instructions)

Acres

or

Linear Feet Approximately 250 ft.

23. Description of Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensation (see instructions)

The original location of the wet-dry creek crosses the corner of property, thus avoidance was not practicable. To minimize stream impacts is not practicable because of the current location of the stream. The new location will lengthen the wet-dry creek and should allow for hydrophytic vegetation growing in the area. Any impacts to the stream would be mitigated by purchasing credits from an approved mitigation bank.

24. Is Any Portion of the Work Already Complete? [X] Yes [ ] No IF YES, DESCRIBE THE COMPLETED WORK

Yes, The upland hillside part has been cleared.

25. Addresses of Adjoining Property Owners, Lessees, Etc., Whose Property Adjoins the Waterbody (if more than can be entered here, please attach a supplemental list).

a. Address- Tax I.D.840-12022-000 Sky Blue LLC, 3621 Independence Dr.

City - Bryant State - AR Zip - 72022

b. Address- Tax I.D. 840-12011-000 Adres & Vera Lopez, 3116 West Meadow Brook

City - Bryant State - AR Zip - 72022

c. Address- Tax I.D. 840-11975-000 Gladys Lorine Arey Revocable Trust, c/o Carolyn Counts, Trustee, 324 Osmond Lane

City - Bismark State - AR Zip - 71929

d. Address- Tax I.D. 840-11968-000 J W Landholdings LLC, P.O. Box 824

City - Bryant State - AR Zip - 72089

e. Address- Tax I.D. 840-11998-000 Rick & Rhonda Sanders, 2903 Vice Road

City - Bryant State - AR Zip - 72022

26. List of Other Certificates or Approvals/Denials received from other Federal, State, or Local Agencies for Work Described in This Application.

AGENCY	TYPE APPROVAL*	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	DATE APPLIED	DATE APPROVED	DATE DENIED

* Would include but is not restricted to zoning, building, and flood plain permits

27. Application is hereby made for permit or permits to authorize the work described in this application. I certify that this information in this application is complete and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the work described herein or am acting as the duly authorized agent of the applicant.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT — DATE: 2/1/22

SIGNATURE OF AGENT — DATE: 1/31/22

The Application must be signed by the person who desires to undertake the proposed activity (applicant) or it may be signed by a duly authorized agent if the statement in block 11 has been filled out and signed.

18 U.S.C. Section 1001 provides that: Whoever, in any manner within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals, or covers up any trick, scheme, or disguises a material fact or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entry, shall be fined not more than $10,000 or imprisoned not more than five years or both.

AFFILIATED WITH ENG 4345


CERTIFICATION OF LEGAL INTEREST

This is to certify that I, the undersigned, do own or have other legal interest in the subject property and wish to have the attached permit application evaluated.

☒ I also hereby grant permission for entry upon and inspection of the said property for permit evaluation purposes with the understanding that I can withdraw this right-of-entry only in writing and that responsible effort will be made to contact the undersigned prior to entry upon said property.

☐ I do not grant permission for entry upon and inspection of the said property for permit evaluation purposes with the understanding that if an on-site inspection is needed, the permit process may be hampered.

Signature



Legal Interest Title

SPECIAL CONDITIONS
NATIONWIDE PERMIT No. 39

Commercial and Institutional Developments

Discharges of dredged or fill material into non-tidal waters of the United States for the construction or expansion of commercial and institutional building foundations and building pads and attendant features that are necessary for the use and maintenance of the structures. Attendant features may include, but are not limited to, roads, parking lots, garages, yards, utility lines, storm water management facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, and recreation facilities such as playgrounds and playing fields. Examples of commercial developments include retail stores, industrial facilities, restaurants, business parks, and shopping centers. Examples of institutional developments include schools, fire stations, government office buildings, judicial buildings, public works buildings, libraries, hospitals, and places of worship. The construction of new golf courses and new ski areas is not authorized by this NWP.

The discharge must not cause the loss of greater than 1/2-acre of non-tidal waters of the United States. This NWP does not authorize discharges of dredged or fill material into non-tidal wetlands adjacent to tidal waters.

Notification: The permittee must submit a pre-construction notification to the district engineer prior to commencing the activity. (See general condition 32.)

(Authorities: Sections 10 and 404)

Note: For any activity that involves the construction of a wind energy generating structure, solar tower, or overhead transmission line, a copy of the PCN and NWP verification will be provided by the Corps to the Department of Defense Siting Clearinghouse, which will evaluate potential effects on military activities.

2021 Nationwide Permits General Conditions, District Engineer's Decision and Further Information

2021 Nationwide Permit General Conditions

Note: To qualify for NWP authorization, the prospective permittee must comply with the following general conditions, as applicable, in addition to any regional or case-specific conditions imposed by the division engineer or district engineer. Prospective permittees should contact the appropriate Corps district office to determine if regional conditions have been imposed on an NWP. Prospective permittees should also contact the appropriate Corps district office to determine the status of Clean Water Act Section 401 water quality certification and/or Coastal Zone Management Act consistency for an NWP. Every person who may wish to obtain permit authorization under one or more NWPs, or who is currently relying on an existing or prior permit authorization under one or more NWPs, has been and is on notice that all of the provisions of 33 CFR 330.1 through 330.6 apply to every NWP authorization. Note especially 33 CFR 330.5 relating to the modification, suspension, or revocation of any NWP authorization.

1. Navigation. (a) No activity may cause more than a minimal adverse effect on navigation.

(b) Any safety lights and signals prescribed by the U.S. Coast Guard, through regulations or otherwise, must be installed and maintained at the permittee's expense on authorized facilities in navigable waters of the United States.

(c) The permittee understands and agrees that, if future operations by the United States require the removal, relocation, or other alteration, of the structure or work herein authorized, or if, in the opinion of the Secretary of the Army or his or her authorized representative, said structure or work shall cause unreasonable obstruction to the free navigation of the navigable waters, the permittee will be required, upon due notice from the Corps of Engineers, to remove, relocate, or alter the structural work or obstructions caused thereby, without expense to the United States. No claim shall be made against the United States on account of any such removal or alteration.

2. Aquatic Life Movements. No activity may substantially disrupt the necessary life cycle movements of those species of aquatic life indigenous to the waterbody, including those species that normally migrate through the area, unless the activity's primary purpose is to impound water. All permanent and temporary crossings of waterbodies shall be suitably culverted, bridged, or otherwise designed and constructed to maintain low flows to sustain the movement of those aquatic species. If a bottomless culvert cannot be used, then the crossing should be designed and constructed to minimize adverse effects to aquatic life movements.

3. Spawning Areas. Activities in spawning areas during spawning seasons must be avoided to the maximum extent practicable. Activities that result in the physical

destruction (e.g., through excavation, fill, or downstream smothering by substantial turbidity) of an important spawning area are not authorized.

4. Migratory Bird Breeding Areas. Activities in waters of the United States that serve as breeding areas for migratory birds must be avoided to the maximum extent practicable.

5. Shellfish Beds. No activity may occur in areas of concentrated shellfish populations, unless the activity is directly related to a shellfish harvesting activity authorized by NWPs 4 and 48, or is a shellfish seeding or habitat restoration activity authorized by NWP 27.

6. Suitable Material. No activity may use unsuitable material (e.g., trash, debris, car bodies, asphalt, etc.). Material used for construction or discharged must be free from toxic pollutants in toxic amounts (see section 307 of the Clean Water Act).

7. Water Supply Intakes. No activity may occur in the proximity of a public water supply intake, except where the activity is for the repair or improvement of public water supply intake structures or adjacent bank stabilization.

8. Adverse Effects From Impoundments. If the activity creates an impoundment of water, adverse effects to the aquatic system due to accelerating the passage of water, and/or restricting its flow must be minimized to the maximum extent practicable.

9. Management of Water Flows. To the maximum extent practicable, the pre-construction course, condition, capacity, and location of open waters must be maintained for each activity, including stream channelization, storm water management activities, and temporary and permanent road crossings, except as provided below. The activity must be constructed to withstand expected high flows. The activity must not restrict or impede the passage of normal or high flows, unless the primary purpose of the activity is to impound water or manage high flows. The activity may alter the pre-construction course, condition, capacity, and location of open waters if it benefits the aquatic environment (e.g., stream restoration or relocation activities).

10. Fills Within 100-Year Floodplains. The activity must comply with applicable FEMA-approved state or local floodplain management requirements.

11. Equipment. Heavy equipment working in wetlands or mudflats must be placed on mats, or other measures must be taken to minimize soil disturbance.

12. Soil Erosion and Sediment Controls. Appropriate soil erosion and sediment controls must be used and maintained in effective operating condition during construction, and all exposed soil and other fills, as well as any work below the ordinary high water mark or high tide line, must be permanently stabilized at the earliest practicable date. Permittees are encouraged to perform work within waters of the United States during periods of low-flow or no-flow, or during low tides.

13. Removal of Temporary Structures and Fills. Temporary structures must be removed, to the maximum extent practicable, after their use has been discontinued. Temporary fills must be removed in their entirety and the affected areas returned to pre-construction elevations. The affected areas must be revegetated, as appropriate.

14. Proper Maintenance. Any authorized structure or fill shall be properly maintained, including maintenance to ensure public safety and compliance with applicable NWP general conditions, as well as any activity-specific conditions added by the district engineer to an NWP authorization.

15. Single and Complete Project. The activity must be a single and complete project. The same NWP cannot be used more than once for the same single and complete project.

16. Wild and Scenic Rivers. (a) No NWP activity may occur in a component of the National Wild and Scenic River System, or in a river officially designated by Congress as a "study river" for possible inclusion in the system while the river is in an official study status, unless the appropriate Federal agency with direct management responsibility for such river, has determined in writing that the proposed activity will not adversely affect the Wild and Scenic River designation or study status.

(b) If a proposed NWP activity will occur in a component of the National Wild and Scenic River System, or in a river officially designated by Congress as a "study river" for possible inclusion in the system while the river is in an official study status, the permittee must submit a pre-construction notification (see general condition 32). The district engineer will coordinate the PCN with the Federal agency with direct management responsibility for that river. Permittees shall not begin the NWP activity until notified by the district engineer that the Federal agency with direct management responsibility for that river has determined in writing that the proposed NWP activity will not adversely affect the Wild and Scenic River designation or study status.

(c) Information on Wild and Scenic Rivers may be obtained from the appropriate Federal land management agency responsible for the designated Wild and Scenic River or study river (e.g., National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). Information on these rivers is also available at: http://www.rivers.gov/.

17. Tribal Rights. No activity or its operation may impair reserved tribal rights, including, but not limited to, reserved water rights and treaty fishing and hunting rights.

18. Endangered Species. (a) No activity is authorized under any NWP which is likely to directly or indirectly jeopardize the continued existence of a threatened or endangered species or a species proposed for such designation, as identified under the Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA), or which will directly or indirectly destroy or adversely modify designated critical habitat or critical habitat proposed for such designation. No activity is authorized under any NWP which "may affect" a listed species or critical

habitat, unless ESA section 7 consultation addressing the consequences of the proposed activity on listed species or critical habitat has been completed. See 50 CFR 402.02 for the definition of “effects of the action” for the purposes of ESA section 7 consultation, as well as 50 CFR 402.17, which provides further explanation under ESA section 7 regarding “activities that are reasonably certain to occur” and “consequences caused by the proposed action.”

(b) Federal agencies should follow their own procedures for complying with the requirements of the ESA (see 33 CFR 330.4(f)(1)). If pre-construction notification is required for the proposed activity, the Federal permittee must provide the district engineer with the appropriate documentation to demonstrate compliance with those requirements. The district engineer will verify that the appropriate documentation has been submitted. If the appropriate documentation has not been submitted, additional ESA section 7 consultation may be necessary for the activity and the respective federal agency would be responsible for fulfilling its obligation under section 7 of the ESA.

(c) Non-federal permittees must submit a pre-construction notification to the district engineer if any listed species (or species proposed for listing) or designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed such designation) might be affected or is in the vicinity of the activity, or if the activity is located in designated critical habitat or critical habitat proposed for such designation, and shall not begin work on the activity until notified by the district engineer that the requirements of the ESA have been satisfied and that the activity is authorized. For activities that might affect Federally-listed endangered or threatened species (or species proposed for listing) or designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation), the pre-construction notification must include the name(s) of the endangered or threatened species (or species proposed for listing) that might be affected by the proposed activity or that utilize the designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation) that might be affected by the proposed activity. The district engineer will determine whether the proposed activity “may affect” or will have “no effect” to listed species and designated critical habitat and will notify the non-Federal applicant of the Corps’ determination within 45 days of receipt of a complete pre-construction notification. For activities where the non-Federal applicant has identified listed species (or species proposed for listing) or designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation) that might be affected or is in the vicinity of the activity, and has so notified the Corps, the applicant shall not begin work until the Corps has provided notification that the proposed activity will have “no effect” on listed species (or species proposed for listing or designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation), or until ESA section 7 consultation or conference has been completed. If the non-Federal applicant has not heard back from the Corps within 45 days, the applicant must still wait for notification from the Corps.

(d) As a result of formal or informal consultation or conference with the FWS or NMFS the district engineer may add species-specific permit conditions to the NWPs.

(e) Authorization of an activity by an NWP does not authorize the “take” of a threatened or endangered species as defined under the ESA. In the absence of separate authorization (e.g., an ESA Section 10 Permit, a Biological Opinion with “incidental take” provisions, etc.) from the FWS or the NMFS, the Endangered Species Act prohibits any person subject to the jurisdiction of the United States to take a listed species, where "take" means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. The word “harm” in the definition of “take" means an act which actually kills or injures wildlife. Such an act may include significant habitat modification or degradation where it actually kills or injures wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding or sheltering.

(f) If the non-federal permittee has a valid ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) incidental take permit with an approved Habitat Conservation Plan for a project or a group of projects that includes the proposed NWP activity, the non-federal applicant should provide a copy of that ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit with the PCN required by paragraph (c) of this general condition. The district engineer will coordinate with the agency that issued the ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit to determine whether the proposed NWP activity and the associated incidental take were considered in the internal ESA section 7 consultation conducted for the ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit. If that coordination results in concurrence from the agency that the proposed NWP activity and the associated incidental take were considered in the internal ESA section 7 consultation for the ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit, the district engineer does not need to conduct a separate ESA section 7 consultation for the proposed NWP activity. The district engineer will notify the non-federal applicant within 45 days of receipt of a complete pre-construction notification whether the ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit covers the proposed NWP activity or whether additional ESA section 7 consultation is required.

(g) Information on the location of threatened and endangered species and their critical habitat can be obtained directly from the offices of the FWS and NMFS or their world wide web pages at http://www.fws.gov/ or http://www.fws.gov/ipac and http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species/esa/ respectively.

19. Migratory Birds and Bald and Golden Eagles. The permittee is responsible for ensuring that an action authorized by an NWP complies with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. The permittee is responsible for contacting the appropriate local office of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to determine what measures, if any, are necessary or appropriate to reduce adverse effects to migratory birds or eagles, including whether "incidental take" permits are necessary and available under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act or Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act for a particular activity.

20. Historic Properties. (a) No activity is authorized under any NWP which may have the potential to cause effects to properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places until the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) have been satisfied.

(b) Federal permittees should follow their own procedures for complying with the requirements of section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (see 33 CFR 330.4(g)(1)). If pre-construction notification is required for the proposed NWP activity, the Federal permittee must provide the district engineer with the appropriate documentation to demonstrate compliance with those requirements. The district engineer will verify that the appropriate documentation has been submitted. If the appropriate documentation is not submitted, then additional consultation under section 106 may be necessary. The respective federal agency is responsible for fulfilling its obligation to comply with section 106.

(c) Non-federal permittees must submit a pre-construction notification to the district engineer if the NWP activity might have the potential to cause effects to any historic properties listed on, determined to be eligible for listing on, or potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, including previously unidentified properties. For such activities, the pre-construction notification must state which historic properties might have the potential to be affected by the proposed NWP activity or include a vicinity map indicating the location of the historic properties or the potential for the presence of historic properties. Assistance regarding information on the location of, or potential for, the presence of historic properties can be sought from the State Historic Preservation Officer, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, or designated tribal representative, as appropriate, and the National Register of Historic Places (see 33 CFR 330.4(g)). When reviewing pre-construction notifications, district engineers will comply with the current procedures for addressing the requirements of section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The district engineer shall make a reasonable and good faith effort to carry out appropriate identification efforts commensurate with potential impacts, which may include background research, consultation, oral history interviews, sample field investigation, and/or field survey. Based on the information submitted in the PCN and these identification efforts, the district engineer shall determine whether the proposed NWP activity has the potential to cause effects on the historic properties. Section 106 consultation is not required when the district engineer determines that the activity does not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties (see 36 CFR 800.3(a)). Section 106 consultation is required when the district engineer determines that the activity has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. The district engineer will conduct consultation with consulting parties identified under 36 CFR 800.2(c) when he or she makes any of the following effect determinations for the purposes of section 106 of the NHPA: no historic properties affected, no adverse effect, or adverse effect.

(d) Where the non-Federal applicant has identified historic properties on which the proposed NWP activity might have the potential to cause effects and has so notified the Corps, the non-Federal applicant shall not begin the activity until notified by the district engineer either that the activity has no potential to cause effects to historic properties or that NHPA section 106 consultation has been completed. For non-federal permittees, the district engineer will notify the prospective permittee within 45 days of receipt of a complete pre-construction notification whether NHPA section 106 consultation is

required. If NHPA section 106 consultation is required, the district engineer will notify the non-Federal applicant that he or she cannot begin the activity until section 106 consultation is completed. If the non-Federal applicant has not heard back from the Corps within 45 days, the applicant must still wait for notification from the Corps.

(e) Prospective permittees should be aware that section 110k of the NHPA (54 U.S.C. 306113) prevents the Corps from granting a permit or other assistance to an applicant who, with intent to avoid the requirements of section 106 of the NHPA, has intentionally significantly adversely affected a historic property to which the permit would relate, or having legal power to prevent it, allowed such significant adverse effect to occur, unless the Corps, after consultation with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), determines that circumstances justify granting such assistance despite the adverse effect created or permitted by the applicant. If circumstances justify granting the assistance, the Corps is required to notify the ACHP and provide documentation specifying the circumstances, the degree of damage to the integrity of any historic properties affected, and proposed mitigation. This documentation must include any views obtained from the applicant, SHPO/THPO, appropriate Indian tribes if the undertaking occurs on or affects historic properties on tribal lands or affects properties of interest to those tribes, and other parties known to have a legitimate interest in the impacts to the permitted activity on historic properties.

21. Discovery of Previously Unknown Remains and Artifacts. Permittees that discover any previously unknown historic, cultural or archeological remains and artifacts while accomplishing the activity authorized by an NWP, they must immediately notify the district engineer of what they have found, and to the maximum extent practicable, avoid construction activities that may affect the remains and artifacts until the required coordination has been completed. The district engineer will initiate the Federal, Tribal, and state coordination required to determine if the items or remains warrant a recovery effort or if the site is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

22. Designated Critical Resource Waters. Critical resource waters include, NOAA-managed marine sanctuaries and marine monuments, and National Estuarine Research Reserves. The district engineer may designate, after notice and opportunity for public comment, additional waters officially designated by a state as having particular environmental or ecological significance, such as outstanding national resource waters or state natural heritage sites. The district engineer may also designate additional critical resource waters after notice and opportunity for public comment.

(a) Discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States are not authorized by NWPs 7, 12, 14, 16, 17, 21, 29, 31, 35, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 49, 50, 51, 52, 57 and 58 for any activity within, or directly affecting, critical resource waters, including wetlands adjacent to such waters.

(b) For NWPs 3, 8, 10, 13, 15, 18, 19, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28, 30, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, and 54, notification is required in accordance with general condition 32, for any activity proposed by permittees in the designated critical resource waters including wetlands adjacent to

those waters. The district engineer may authorize activities under these NWPs only after she or he determines that the impacts to the critical resource waters will be no more than minimal.

23. Mitigation. The district engineer will consider the following factors when determining appropriate and practicable mitigation necessary to ensure that the individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects are no more than minimal:

(a) The activity must be designed and constructed to avoid and minimize adverse effects, both temporary and permanent, to waters of the United States to the maximum extent practicable at the project site (i.e., on site).

(b) Mitigation in all its forms (avoiding, minimizing, rectifying, reducing, or compensating for resource losses) will be required to the extent necessary to ensure that the individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects are no more than minimal.

(c) Compensatory mitigation at a minimum one-for-one ratio will be required for all wetland losses that exceed 1/10-acre and require pre-construction notification, unless the district engineer determines in writing that either some other form of mitigation would be more environmentally appropriate or the adverse environmental effects of the proposed activity are no more than minimal, and provides an activity-specific waiver of this requirement. For wetland losses of 1/10-acre or less that require pre-construction notification, the district engineer may determine on a case-by-case basis that compensatory mitigation is required to ensure that the activity results in only minimal adverse environmental effects.

(d) Compensatory mitigation at a minimum one-for-one ratio will be required for all losses of stream bed that exceed 3/100-acre and require pre-construction notification, unless the district engineer determines in writing that either some other form of mitigation would be more environmentally appropriate or the adverse environmental effects of the proposed activity are no more than minimal, and provides an activity-specific waiver of this requirement. This compensatory mitigation requirement may be satisfied through the restoration or enhancement of riparian areas next to streams in accordance with paragraph (e) of this general condition. For losses of stream bed of 3/100-acre or less that require pre-construction notification, the district engineer may determine on a case-by-case basis that compensatory mitigation is required to ensure that the activity results in only minimal adverse environmental effects. Compensatory mitigation for losses of streams should be provided, if practicable, through stream rehabilitation, enhancement, or preservation, since streams are difficult-to-replace resources (see 33 CFR 332.3(e)(3)).

(e) Compensatory mitigation plans for NWP activities in or near streams or other open waters will normally include a requirement for the restoration or enhancement, maintenance, and legal protection (e.g., conservation easements) of riparian areas next to open waters. In some cases, the restoration or maintenance/protection of riparian areas may be the only compensatory mitigation required. If restoring riparian areas

involves planting vegetation, only native species should be planted. The width of the required riparian area will address documented water quality or aquatic habitat loss concerns. Normally, the riparian area will be 25 to 50 feet wide on each side of the stream, but the district engineer may require slightly wider riparian areas to address documented water quality or habitat loss concerns. If it is not possible to restore or maintain/protect a riparian area on both sides of a stream, or if the waterbody is a lake or coastal waters, then restoring or maintaining/protecting a riparian area along a single bank or shoreline may be sufficient. Where both wetlands and open waters exist on the project site, the district engineer will determine the appropriate compensatory mitigation (e.g., riparian areas and/or wetlands compensation) based on what is best for the aquatic environment on a watershed basis. In cases where riparian areas are determined to be the most appropriate form of minimization or compensatory mitigation, the district engineer may waive or reduce the requirement to provide wetland compensatory mitigation for wetland losses.

(f) Compensatory mitigation projects provided to offset losses of aquatic resources must comply with the applicable provisions of 33 CFR part 332.

(1) The prospective permittee is responsible for proposing an appropriate compensatory mitigation option if compensatory mitigation is necessary to ensure that the activity results in no more than minimal adverse environmental effects. For the NWPs, the preferred mechanism for providing compensatory mitigation is mitigation bank credits or in-lieu fee program credits (see 33 CFR 332.3(b)(2) and (3)). However, if an appropriate number and type of mitigation bank or in-lieu credits are not available at the time the PCN is submitted to the district engineer, the district engineer may approve the use of permittee-responsible mitigation.

(2) The amount of compensatory mitigation required by the district engineer must be sufficient to ensure that the authorized activity results in no more than minimal individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects (see 33 CFR 330.1(e)(3)). (See also 33 CFR 332.3(f).)

(3) Since the likelihood of success is greater and the impacts to potentially valuable uplands are reduced, aquatic resource restoration should be the first compensatory mitigation option considered for permittee-responsible mitigation.

(4) If permittee-responsible mitigation is the proposed option, the prospective permittee is responsible for submitting a mitigation plan. A conceptual or detailed mitigation plan may be used by the district engineer to make the decision on the NWP verification request, but a final mitigation plan that addresses the applicable requirements of 33 CFR 332.4(c)(2) through (14) must be approved by the district engineer before the permittee begins work in waters of the United States, unless the district engineer determines that prior approval of the final mitigation plan is not practicable or not necessary to ensure timely completion of the required compensatory mitigation (see 33 CFR 332.3(k)(3)). If permittee-responsible mitigation is the proposed option, and the proposed compensatory mitigation site is located on land in which another federal

agency holds an easement, the district engineer will coordinate with that federal agency to determine if proposed compensatory mitigation project is compatible with the terms of the easement.

(5) If mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program credits are the proposed option, the mitigation plan needs to address only the baseline conditions at the impact site and the number of credits to be provided (see 33 CFR 332.4(c)(1)(ii)).

(6) Compensatory mitigation requirements (e.g., resource type and amount to be provided as compensatory mitigation, site protection, ecological performance standards, monitoring requirements) may be addressed through conditions added to the NWP authorization, instead of components of a compensatory mitigation plan (see 33 CFR 332.4(c)(1)(ii)).

(g) Compensatory mitigation will not be used to increase the acreage losses allowed by the acreage limits of the NWPs. For example, if an NWP has an acreage limit of 1/2-acre, it cannot be used to authorize any NWP activity resulting in the loss of greater than 1/2-acre of waters of the United States, even if compensatory mitigation is provided that replaces or restores some of the lost waters. However, compensatory mitigation can and should be used, as necessary, to ensure that an NWP activity already meeting the established acreage limits also satisfies the no more than minimal impact requirement for the NWPs.

(h) Permittees may propose the use of mitigation banks, in-lieu fee programs, or permittee-responsible mitigation. When developing a compensatory mitigation proposal, the permittee must consider appropriate and practicable options consistent with the framework at 33 CFR 332.3(b). For activities resulting in the loss of marine or estuarine resources, permittee-responsible mitigation may be environmentally preferable if there are no mitigation banks or in-lieu fee programs in the area that have marine or estuarine credits available for sale or transfer to the permittee. For permittee-responsible mitigation, the special conditions of the NWP verification must clearly indicate the party or parties responsible for the implementation and performance of the compensatory mitigation project, and, if required, its long-term management.

(i) Where certain functions and services of waters of the United States are permanently adversely affected by a regulated activity, such as discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States that will convert a forested or scrub-shrub wetland to a herbaceous wetland in a permanently maintained utility line right-of-way, mitigation may be required to reduce the adverse environmental effects of the activity to the no more than minimal level.

24. Safety of Impoundment Structures. To ensure that all impoundment structures are safely designed, the district engineer may require non-Federal applicants to demonstrate that the structures comply with established state or federal, dam safety criteria or have been designed by qualified persons. The district engineer may also

require documentation that the design has been independently reviewed by similarly qualified persons, and appropriate modifications made to ensure safety.

25. Water Quality. (a) Where the certifying authority (state, authorized tribe, or EPA, as appropriate) has not previously certified compliance of an NWP with CWA section 401, a CWA section 401 water quality certification for the proposed discharge must be obtained or waived (see 33 CFR 330.4(c)). If the permittee cannot comply with all of the conditions of a water quality certification previously issued by certifying authority for the issuance of the NWP, then the permittee must obtain a water quality certification or waiver for the proposed discharge in order for the activity to be authorized by an NWP.

(b) If the NWP activity requires pre-construction notification and the certifying authority has not previously certified compliance of an NWP with CWA section 401, the proposed discharge is not authorized by an NWP until water quality certification is obtained or waived. If the certifying authority issues a water quality certification for the proposed discharge, the permittee must submit a copy of the certification to the district engineer. The discharge is not authorized by an NWP until the district engineer has notified the permittee that the water quality certification requirement has been satisfied by the issuance of a water quality certification or a waiver.

(c) The district engineer or certifying authority may require additional water quality management measures to ensure that the authorized activity does not result in more than minimal degradation of water quality.

26. Coastal Zone Management. In coastal states where an NWP has not previously received a state coastal zone management consistency concurrence, an individual state coastal zone management consistency concurrence must be obtained, or a presumption of concurrence must occur (see 33 CFR 330.4(d)). If the permittee cannot comply with all of the conditions of a coastal zone management consistency concurrence previously issued by the state, then the permittee must obtain an individual coastal zone management consistency concurrence or presumption of concurrence in order for the activity to be authorized by an NWP. The district engineer or a state may require additional measures to ensure that the authorized activity is consistent with state coastal zone management requirements.

27. Regional and Case-By-Case Conditions. The activity must comply with any regional conditions that may have been added by the Division Engineer (see 33 CFR 330.4(e)) and with any case specific conditions added by the Corps or by the state, Indian Tribe, or U.S. EPA in its CWA section 401 Water Quality Certification, or by the state in its Coastal Zone Management Act consistency determination.

28. Use of Multiple Nationwide Permits. The use of more than one NWP for a single and complete project is authorized, subject to the following restrictions:

(a) If only one of the NWPs used to authorize the single and complete project has a specified acreage limit, the acreage loss of waters of the United States cannot exceed

the acreage limit of the NWP with the highest specified acreage limit. For example, if a road crossing over tidal waters is constructed under NWP 14, with associated bank stabilization authorized by NWP 13, the maximum acreage loss of waters of the United States for the total project cannot exceed 1/3-acre.

(b) If one or more of the NWPs used to authorize the single and complete project has specified acreage limits, the acreage loss of waters of the United States authorized by those NWPs cannot exceed their respective specified acreage limits. For example, if a commercial development is constructed under NWP 39, and the single and complete project includes the filling of an upland ditch authorized by NWP 46, the maximum acreage loss of waters of the United States for the commercial development under NWP 39 cannot exceed 1/2-acre, and the total acreage loss of waters of United States due to the NWP 39 and 46 activities cannot exceed 1 acre.

29. Transfer of Nationwide Permit Verifications. If the permittee sells the property associated with a nationwide permit verification, the permittee may transfer the nationwide permit verification to the new owner by submitting a letter to the appropriate Corps district office to validate the transfer. A copy of the nationwide permit verification must be attached to the letter, and the letter must contain the following statement and signature:

"When the structures or work authorized by this nationwide permit are still in existence at the time the property is transferred, the terms and conditions of this nationwide permit, including any special conditions, will continue to be binding on the new owner(s) of the property. To validate the transfer of this nationwide permit and the associated liabilities associated with compliance with its terms and conditions, have the transferee sign and date below."

_____
(Transferee)

_____
(Date)

30. Compliance Certification. Each permittee who receives an NWP verification letter from the Corps must provide a signed certification documenting completion of the authorized activity and implementation of any required compensatory mitigation. The success of any required permittee-responsible mitigation, including the achievement of ecological performance standards, will be addressed separately by the district engineer. The Corps will provide the permittee the certification document with the NWP verification letter. The certification document will include:

(a) A statement that the authorized activity was done in accordance with the NWP authorization, including any general, regional, or activity-specific conditions;

(b) A statement that the implementation of any required compensatory mitigation was completed in accordance with the permit conditions. If credits from a mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program are used to satisfy the compensatory mitigation requirements, the certification must include the documentation required by 33 CFR 332.3(l)(3) to confirm that the permittee secured the appropriate number and resource type of credits; and

(c) The signature of the permittee certifying the completion of the activity and mitigation.

The completed certification document must be submitted to the district engineer within 30 days of completion of the authorized activity or the implementation of any required compensatory mitigation, whichever occurs later.

31. Activities Affecting Structures or Works Built by the United States. If an NWP activity also requires review by, or permission from, the Corps pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 408 because it will alter or temporarily or permanently occupy or use a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) federally authorized Civil Works project (a "USACE project"), the prospective permittee must submit a pre-construction notification. See paragraph (b)(10) of general condition 32. An activity that requires section 408 permission and/or review is not authorized by an NWP until the appropriate Corps office issues the section 408 permission or completes its review to alter, occupy, or use the USACE project, and the district engineer issues a written NWP verification.

32. Pre-Construction Notification. (a) *Timing*. Where required by the terms of the NWP, the prospective permittee must notify the district engineer by submitting a pre-construction notification (PCN) as early as possible. The district engineer must determine if the PCN is complete within 30 calendar days of the date of receipt and, if the PCN is determined to be incomplete, notify the prospective permittee within that 30 day period to request the additional information necessary to make the PCN complete. The request must specify the information needed to make the PCN complete. As a general rule, district engineers will request additional information necessary to make the PCN complete only once. However, if the prospective permittee does not provide all of the requested information, then the district engineer will notify the prospective permittee that the PCN is still incomplete and the PCN review process will not commence until all of the requested information has been received by the district engineer. The prospective permittee shall not begin the activity until either:

(1) He or she is notified in writing by the district engineer that the activity may proceed under the NWP with any special conditions imposed by the district or division engineer; or

(2) 45 calendar days have passed from the district engineer's receipt of the complete PCN and the prospective permittee has not received written notice from the district or division engineer. However, if the permittee was required to notify the Corps pursuant to

general condition 18 that listed species or critical habitat might be affected or are in the vicinity of the activity, or to notify the Corps pursuant to general condition 20 that the activity might have the potential to cause effects to historic properties, the permittee cannot begin the activity until receiving written notification from the Corps that there is "no effect" on listed species or "no potential to cause effects" on historic properties, or that any consultation required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (see 33 CFR 330.4(f)) and/or section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (see 33 CFR 330.4(g)) has been completed. If the proposed activity requires a written waiver to exceed specified limits of an NWP, the permittee may not begin the activity until the district engineer issues the waiver. If the district or division engineer notifies the permittee in writing that an individual permit is required within 45 calendar days of receipt of a complete PCN, the permittee cannot begin the activity until an individual permit has been obtained. Subsequently, the permittee's right to proceed under the NWP may be modified, suspended, or revoked only in accordance with the procedure set forth in 33 CFR 330.5(d)(2).

(b) *Contents of Pre-Construction Notification*: The PCN must be in writing and include the following information:

(1) Name, address and telephone numbers of the prospective permittee;

(2) Location of the proposed activity;

(3) Identify the specific NWP or NWP(s) the prospective permittee wants to use to authorize the proposed activity;

(4) (i) A description of the proposed activity; the activity's purpose; direct and indirect adverse environmental effects the activity would cause, including the anticipated amount of loss of wetlands, other special aquatic sites, and other waters expected to result from the NWP activity, in acres, linear feet, or other appropriate unit of measure; a description of any proposed mitigation measures intended to reduce the adverse environmental effects caused by the proposed activity; and any other NWP(s), regional general permit(s), or individual permit(s) used or intended to be used to authorize any part of the proposed project or any related activity, including other separate and distant crossings for linear projects that require Department of the Army authorization but do not require pre-construction notification. The description of the proposed activity and any proposed mitigation measures should be sufficiently detailed to allow the district engineer to determine that the adverse environmental effects of the activity will be no more than minimal and to determine the need for compensatory mitigation or other mitigation measures.

(ii) For linear projects where one or more single and complete crossings require pre-construction notification, the PCN must include the quantity of anticipated losses of wetlands, other special aquatic sites, and other waters for each single and complete crossing of those wetlands, other special aquatic sites, and other waters (including those single and complete crossings authorized by an NWP but do not require PCNs).

This information will be used by the district engineer to evaluate the cumulative adverse environmental effects of the proposed linear project, and does not change those non-PCN NWP activities into NWP PCNs.

(iii) Sketches should be provided when necessary to show that the activity complies with the terms of the NWP. (Sketches usually clarify the activity and when provided results in a quicker decision. Sketches should contain sufficient detail to provide an illustrative description of the proposed activity (e.g., a conceptual plan), but do not need to be detailed engineering plans);

(5) The PCN must include a delineation of wetlands, other special aquatic sites, and other waters, such as lakes and ponds, and perennial and intermittent streams, on the project site. Wetland delineations must be prepared in accordance with the current method required by the Corps. The permittee may ask the Corps to delineate the special aquatic sites and other waters on the project site, but there may be a delay if the Corps does the delineation, especially if the project site is large or contains many wetlands, other special aquatic sites, and other waters. Furthermore, the 45-day period will not start until the delineation has been submitted to or completed by the Corps, as appropriate;

(6) If the proposed activity will result in the loss of greater than 1/10-acre of wetlands or 3/100-acre of stream bed and a PCN is required, the prospective permittee must submit a statement describing how the mitigation requirement will be satisfied, or explaining why the adverse environmental effects are no more than minimal and why compensatory mitigation should not be required. As an alternative, the prospective permittee may submit a conceptual or detailed mitigation plan.

(7) For non-federal permittees, if any listed species (or species proposed for listing) or designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation) might be affected or is in the vicinity of the activity, or if the activity is located in designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation), the PCN must include the name(s) of those endangered or threatened species (or species proposed for listing) that might be affected by the proposed activity or utilize the designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation) that might be affected by the proposed activity. For NWP activities that require pre-construction notification, Federal permittees must provide documentation demonstrating compliance with the Endangered Species Act;

(8) For non-federal permittees, if the NWP activity might have the potential to cause effects to a historic property listed on, determined to be eligible for listing on, or potentially eligible for listing on, the National Register of Historic Places, the PCN must state which historic property might have the potential to be affected by the proposed activity or include a vicinity map indicating the location of the historic property. For NWP activities that require pre-construction notification, Federal permittees must provide documentation demonstrating compliance with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act;

(9) For an activity that will occur in a component of the National Wild and Scenic River System, or in a river officially designated by Congress as a “study river” for possible inclusion in the system while the river is in an official study status, the PCN must identify the Wild and Scenic River or the “study river” (see general condition 16); and

(10) For an NWP activity that requires permission from, or review by, the Corps pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 408 because it will alter or temporarily or permanently occupy or use a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers federally authorized civil works project, the pre-construction notification must include a statement confirming that the project proponent has submitted a written request for section 408 permission from, or review by, the Corps office having jurisdiction over that USACE project.

(c) *Form of Pre-Construction Notification*: The nationwide permit pre-construction notification form (Form ENG 6082) should be used for NWP PCNs. A letter containing the required information may also be used. Applicants may provide electronic files of PCNs and supporting materials if the district engineer has established tools and procedures for electronic submittals.

(d) *Agency Coordination*: (1) The district engineer will consider any comments from Federal and state agencies concerning the proposed activity’s compliance with the terms and conditions of the NWPs and the need for mitigation to reduce the activity’s adverse environmental effects so that they are no more than minimal.

(2) Agency coordination is required for: (i) all NWP activities that require pre-construction notification and result in the loss of greater than 1/2-acre of waters of the United States; (ii) NWP 13 activities in excess of 500 linear feet, fills greater than one cubic yard per running foot, or involve discharges of dredged or fill material into special aquatic sites; and (iii) NWP 54 activities in excess of 500 linear feet, or that extend into the waterbody more than 30 feet from the mean low water line in tidal waters or the ordinary high water mark in the Great Lakes.

(3) When agency coordination is required, the district engineer will immediately provide (e.g., via e-mail, facsimile transmission, overnight mail, or other expeditious manner) a copy of the complete PCN to the appropriate Federal or state offices (FWS, state natural resource or water quality agency, EPA, and, if appropriate, the NMFS). With the exception of NWP 37, these agencies will have 10 calendar days from the date the material is transmitted to notify the district engineer via telephone, facsimile transmission, or e-mail that they intend to provide substantive, site-specific comments. The comments must explain why the agency believes the adverse environmental effects will be more than minimal. If so contacted by an agency, the district engineer will wait an additional 15 calendar days before making a decision on the pre-construction notification. The district engineer will fully consider agency comments received within the specified time frame concerning the proposed activity’s compliance with the terms and conditions of the NWPs, including the need for mitigation to ensure that the net adverse environmental effects of the proposed activity are no more than minimal. The

district engineer will provide no response to the resource agency, except as provided below. The district engineer will indicate in the administrative record associated with each pre-construction notification that the resource agencies' concerns were considered. For NWP 37, the emergency watershed protection and rehabilitation activity may proceed immediately in cases where there is an unacceptable hazard to life or a significant loss of property or economic hardship will occur. The district engineer will consider any comments received to decide whether the NWP 37 authorization should be modified, suspended, or revoked in accordance with the procedures at 33 CFR 330.5.

(4) In cases of where the prospective permittee is not a Federal agency, the district engineer will provide a response to NMFS within 30 calendar days of receipt of any Essential Fish Habitat conservation recommendations, as required by section 305(b)(4)(B) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.

(5) Applicants are encouraged to provide the Corps with either electronic files or multiple copies of pre-construction notifications to expedite agency coordination.

2021 District Engineer's Decision

1. In reviewing the PCN for the proposed activity, the district engineer will determine whether the activity authorized by the NWP will result in more than minimal individual or cumulative adverse environmental effects or may be contrary to the public interest. If a project proponent requests authorization by a specific NWP, the district engineer should issue the NWP verification for that activity if it meets the terms and conditions of that NWP, unless he or she determines, after considering mitigation, that the proposed activity will result in more than minimal individual and cumulative adverse effects on the aquatic environment and other aspects of the public interest and exercises discretionary authority to require an individual permit for the proposed activity. For a linear project, this determination will include an evaluation of the single and complete crossings of waters of the United States that require PCNs to determine whether they individually satisfy the terms and conditions of the NWP(s), as well as the cumulative effects caused by all of the crossings of waters of the United States authorized by an NWP. If an applicant requests a waiver of an applicable limit, as provided for in NWPs 13, 36, or 54, the district engineer will only grant the waiver upon a written determination that the NWP activity will result in only minimal individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects.

2. When making minimal adverse environmental effects determinations the district engineer will consider the direct and indirect effects caused by the NWP activity. He or she will also consider the cumulative adverse environmental effects caused by activities authorized by an NWP and whether those cumulative adverse environmental effects are no more than minimal. The district engineer will also consider site specific factors, such as the environmental setting in the vicinity of the NWP activity, the type of resource that will be affected by the NWP activity, the functions provided by the aquatic resources that will be affected by the NWP activity, the degree or magnitude to which the aquatic

resources perform those functions, the extent that aquatic resource functions will be lost as a result of the NWP activity (e.g., partial or complete loss), the duration of the adverse effects (temporary or permanent), the importance of the aquatic resource functions to the region (e.g., watershed or ecoregion), and mitigation required by the district engineer. If an appropriate functional or condition assessment method is available and practicable to use, that assessment method may be used by the district engineer to assist in the minimal adverse environmental effects determination. The district engineer may add case-specific special conditions to the NWP authorization to address site-specific environmental concerns.

3. If the proposed activity requires a PCN and will result in a loss of greater than 1/10-acre of wetlands or 3/100-acre of stream bed, the prospective permittee should submit a mitigation proposal with the PCN. Applicants may also propose compensatory mitigation for NWP activities with smaller impacts, or for impacts to other types of waters. The district engineer will consider any proposed compensatory mitigation or other mitigation measures the applicant has included in the proposal in determining whether the net adverse environmental effects of the proposed activity are no more than minimal. The compensatory mitigation proposal may be either conceptual or detailed. If the district engineer determines that the activity complies with the terms and conditions of the NWP and that the adverse environmental effects are no more than minimal, after considering mitigation, the district engineer will notify the permittee and include any activity-specific conditions in the NWP verification the district engineer deems necessary. Conditions for compensatory mitigation requirements must comply with the appropriate provisions at 33 CFR 332.3(k). The district engineer must approve the final mitigation plan before the permittee commences work in waters of the United States, unless the district engineer determines that prior approval of the final mitigation plan is not practicable or not necessary to ensure timely completion of the required compensatory mitigation. If the prospective permittee elects to submit a compensatory mitigation plan with the PCN, the district engineer will expeditiously review the proposed compensatory mitigation plan. The district engineer must review the proposed compensatory mitigation plan within 45 calendar days of receiving a complete PCN and determine whether the proposed mitigation would ensure that the NWP activity results in no more than minimal adverse environmental effects. If the net adverse environmental effects of the NWP activity (after consideration of the mitigation proposal) are determined by the district engineer to be no more than minimal, the district engineer will provide a timely written response to the applicant. The response will state that the NWP activity can proceed under the terms and conditions of the NWP, including any activity-specific conditions added to the NWP authorization by the district engineer.

4. If the district engineer determines that the adverse environmental effects of the proposed activity are more than minimal, then the district engineer will notify the applicant either: (a) that the activity does not qualify for authorization under the NWP and instruct the applicant on the procedures to seek authorization under an individual permit; (b) that the activity is authorized under the NWP subject to the applicant’s submission of a mitigation plan that would reduce the adverse environmental effects so that they are no more than minimal; or (c) that the activity is authorized under the NWP

with specific modifications or conditions. Where the district engineer determines that mitigation is required to ensure no more than minimal adverse environmental effects, the activity will be authorized within the 45-day PCN period (unless additional time is required to comply with general conditions 18, 20, and/or 31), with activity-specific conditions that state the mitigation requirements. The authorization will include the necessary conceptual or detailed mitigation plan or a requirement that the applicant submit a mitigation plan that would reduce the adverse environmental effects so that they are no more than minimal. When compensatory mitigation is required, no work in waters of the United States may occur until the district engineer has approved a specific mitigation plan or has determined that prior approval of a final mitigation plan is not practicable or not necessary to ensure timely completion of the required compensatory mitigation.

2021 Further Information

1. District engineers have authority to determine if an activity complies with the terms and conditions of an NWP.

2. NWPs do not obviate the need to obtain other federal, state, or local permits, approvals, or authorizations required by law.

3. NWPs do not grant any property rights or exclusive privileges.

4. NWPs do not authorize any injury to the property or rights of others.

5. NWPs do not authorize interference with any existing or proposed Federal project (see general condition 31).

NATIONWIDE PERMIT REGIONAL CONDITIONS

FOR THE STATE OF ARKANSAS

Regional Condition No. 1. For Nationwide Permits (NWPs) 12, 57, and 58, intake structures shall be constructed with screening to prevent the entry of fish.

Regional Condition No. 2. For NWPs No. 12, 51, 57, and 58, and in the following listed waters, when federally jurisdictional, the prospective permittee shall provide written notification to the appropriate District. Notification will be to the District Engineer according to *General Condition No. 32 (Federal Register, Vol. 86, No. 8, FR 2744-2877).*

- **Fens** - A peat-accumulating wetland that receives some drainage from surrounding mineral soil and usually supports marshlike vegetation.

- **Bogs** - A peat-accumulating wetland that has no major inflows or outflows and supports acidophilic mosses, particularly sphagnum.

- **Groundwater seeps** - Wetlands at the base of steep slopes where the groundwater surface intersects with the land surface.

- **Dune depressional wetlands** - Wetlands in shallow depressions that have no major outflows but receive runoff from the surrounding land, located between sandy ridges in northeast Arkansas and southeast Missouri. These wetlands often support pondberry (*Lindera melissifolia*), a federally-listed endangered plant.

- **Cache River and adjacent wetlands** - From the mouth upstream to AR Highway 18 near Grubbs.

Regional Condition No. 3. NWP No. 44 cannot be used to authorize mining activities within areas of government-managed navigation systems on rivers designated as navigable under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S. Code 403).

Regional Condition No. 4. Federally listed threatened or endangered species are known to occur in the waters listed below. For NWPs No. 12, 48, 51, 57, and 58, the prospective permittee shall provide written notification to the appropriate District in order to comply with NWP General Condition No. 18. Notification will be to the District Engineer according to *General Condition No. 32 (Federal Register, Vol. 86, No. 8, FR 2744-2877*). This notification shall be used to review the project to ensure that the proposed project will have "no effect" on federally listed threatened or endangered (T&E) species and to determine if the project would have a minimal impact on the aquatic environment. The application will be coordinated with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and other agencies as determined appropriate by the Corps of Engineers. No activity is authorized under any NWP which "may affect" a listed species or critical habitat, unless Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act consultation addressing the effects of the

proposed activity has been completed (refer to NWP General Condition No. 18). The list of waters may be revised periodically in the case of future updates to the status of T&E species.

Waterbody	County	Species
Caves/Karst	Benton, Washington	*Cambarus aculabrum* (Benton County Cave Crayfish), *Troglichthys rosae* (Ozark Cave Crayfish)
Caves/Karst	Marion, Stone	*Cambarus zophonastes* (Hell Creek Crayfish)
Wetlands	Ashley, Clay, Craighead, Crittenden, Jackson, Lawrence, Poinsett, Woodruff	*Lindera melissifolia* (Pondberry)

Stream Name	County	Species
Alum Fork Saline River	Saline	*Lampsilis powellii* (Arkansas Fatmucket)
Archey Creek	Van Buren	*Etheostoma moorei* (yellowcheek darter), *Lampsilis streckeri* (Speckled Pocketbook)
Arkansas River	Arkansas, Conway, Crawford, Desha, Faulkner, Franklin, Jefferson, Johnson, Lincoln, Logan, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Sebastian, Yell	*Scaphirhynchus albus* (pallid sturgeon), *Sternula antillarum athalassos* (Interior Least Tern)
Beech Creek	Cleburne, Stone	*Etheostoma moorei* (yellowcheek darter), *Lampsilis streckeri* (Speckled Pocketbook)
Big Brushy Creek	Montgomery	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Big Creek	Cleburne	*Lampsilis streckeri* (Speckled Pocketbook)
Black River	Clay, Lawrence, Randolph	*Cryptobranchus alleganiensis bishopi* (Ozark Hellbender), *Epioblasma triquetra* (Snuffbox), *Lampsilis abrupta* (Pink Mucket), *Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Brush Creek	Perry	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Buffalo Creek	Polk	*Percina pantherina* (leopard darter)
Buffalo River	Marion, Newton, Searcy	*Epioblasma triquetra* (Snuffbox), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Caddo River Above DeGray Lake	Clark, Montgomery, Pike	*Lampsilis powellii* (Arkansas Fatmucket)
Caddo River Below DeGray Lake	Clark	*Lampsilis powellii* (Arkansas Fatmucket)
Cane Island Slough Ditch	Craighead	*Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Clear Fork	Scott	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Cossatot River Above Gillham Lake	Howard, Polk	*Percina pantherina* (leopard darter)
Cossatot River Below Gillham Lake	Howard, Sevier	*Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell), *Quadrula fragosa* (Winged Mapleleaf), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)

Stream Name	County	Species
Current River	Clay, Randolph	*Lampsilis abrupta* (Pink Mucket), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Devils Fork Little Red River	Cleburne	*Etheostoma moorei* (yellowcheek darter)
Dry Fork Fourche LaFave River	Perry, Yell	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Eleven Point River	Randolph	*Cryptobranchus alleganiensis bishopi* (Ozark Hellbender), *Lampsilis abrupta* (Pink Mucket)
Fiddlers Creek	Montgomery, Yell	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Fourche LaFave River	Scott, Yell	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Frog Bayou	Crawford	*Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell)
Illinois River	Benton, Washington	*Lampsilis rafinesqueana* (Neosho Mucket), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Irons Fork	Polk	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Irons Fork Ouachita River	Garland, Montgomery, Yell	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Kings River	Carroll, Madison	*Epioblasma triquetra* (Snuffbox), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
L' Anguille River	Lee	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
Left Hand Chute Little River	Mississippi, Poinsett	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
Little Brushy Creek	Montgomery	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Little Missouri River below Lake Greeson	Clark, Hempstead, Nevada, Ouachita, Pike	*Lampsilis abrupta* (Pink Mucket), *Quadrula fragosa* (Winged Mapleleaf), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Little River Above Millwood Lake	Little River, Sevier	*Arcidens wheeleri* (Ouachita Rock Pocketbook), *Lampsilis abrupta* (Pink Mucket), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Little River Below Millwood Lake	Hempstead, Little River	*Arcidens wheeleri* (Ouachita Rock Pocketbook)
Middle Fork Little Red River	Cleburne, Searcy, Stone, Van Buren	*Etheostoma moorei* (yellowcheek darter), *Lampsilis streckeri* (Speckled Pocketbook), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Middle Fork Saline River	Garland, Saline	*Lampsilis powellii* (Arkansas Fatmucket)
Mississippi River	Chicot, Crittenden, Desha, Lee, Mississippi, Phillips	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook), *Scaphirhynchus albus* (pallid sturgeon), *Sternula antillarum athalassos* (Interior Least Tern)
Mountain Fork River	Polk	*Percina pantherina* (leopard darter)
Muddy Creek	Montgomery	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Muddy Fork Illinois River	Washington	*Lampsilis rafinesqueana* (Neosho Mucket)
Myatt Creek	Fulton	*Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell)
North Fork Creek	Garland	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
North Fork Ouachita River	Montgomery	*Lampsilis powellii* (Arkansas Fatmucket)
North Fork Saline River	Perry, Saline	*Lampsilis powellii* (Arkansas Fatmucket)

Stream Name	County	Species
Ouachita River, Lower	Bradley, Calhoun, Clark, Dallas, Hot Spring, Ouachita, Union	*Arcidens wheeleri* (Ouachita Rock Pocketbook), *Lampsilis abrupta* (Pink Mucket), *Lampsilis powellii* (Arkansas Fatmucket), *Margaritifera monodonta* (Spectaclecase), *Quadrula fragosa* (Winged Mapleleaf), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Ouachita River, Upper	Montgomery, Polk	*Lampsilis powellii* (Arkansas Fatmucket), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Rainy Creek	Montgomery	*Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Red River	Hempstead, Lafayette, Little River, Miller	*Sternula antillarum athalassos* (Interior Least Tern)
Right Hand Chute Little River, Ditches, and Sand Slu	Craighead, Mississippi, Poinsett	*Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell), *Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
Robinson Creek	Polk, Sevier	*Percina pantherina* (leopard darter)
Rolling Fork Below DeQueen Reservoir	Sevier	*Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Saline River	Ashley, Bradley, Cleveland, Dallas, Drew, Grant, Saline	*Lampsilis abrupta* (Pink Mucket), *Lampsilis powellii* (Arkansas Fatmucket), *Quadrula fragosa* (Winged Mapleleaf), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Saline River Below Dierks Reservoir	Howard, Sevier	*Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
South Fork Little Red River	Van Buren	*Etheostoma moorei* (yellowcheek darter), *Lampsilis streckeri* (Speckled Pocketbook)
South Fork Ouachita River	Montgomery	*Lampsilis powellii* (Arkansas Fatmucket)
South Fork Saline River	Garland, Saline	*Lampsilis powellii* (Arkansas Fatmucket)
South Fork Spring River	Fulton, Sharp	*Epioblasma curtisii* (Curtis Pearlymussel), *Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
South Fourche LaFave River	Perry, Yell	*Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell), *Ptilimnium nodosum* (harperella)
Spring River	Fulton, Lawrence, Randolph, Sharp	*Cryptobranchus alleganiensis bishopi* (Ozark Hellbender), *Epioblasma curtisii* (Curtis Pearlymussel), *Epioblasma triquetra* (Snuffbox), *Lampsilis abrupta* (Pink Mucket), *Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
St. Francis River	Clay, Crittenden, Cross, Greene, Lee, Phillips, Poinsett, St. Francis	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, Clark Corner Cutoff	St. Francis	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, Cross County Ditch	Cross	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, Ditch No 10	Craighead, Poinsett	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, Ditch No 123	Poinsett	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, Ditch No 60	Craighead, Poinsett	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)

Stream Name	County	Species
St. Francis River, Ditch No 61	Poinsett	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, Ditch No 9	Craighead, Poinsett	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, Iron Mines Creek	Poinsett	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, Little Bay Ditch	Craighead, Poinsett	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, Little Slough Ditch	Craighead	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, St. Francis Bay	Cross	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, St. Francis Floodway	Lee, St. Francis	*Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell), *Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
St. Francis River, Straight Slough	Cross, Poinsett	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
Strawberry River	Fulton, Independence, Izard, Lawrence, Sharp	*Epioblasma triquetra* (Snuffbox), *Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
Turkey Creek	Stone	*Etheostoma moorei* (yellowcheek darter), *Lampsilis streckeri* (Speckled Pocketbook)
Tyronza River	Crittenden, Cross, Mississippi, Poinsett	*Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook)
War Eagle Creek	Benton, Madison, Washington	*Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)
White River, downstream of Dam No. 3	Arkansas, Independence, Izard, Jackson, Monroe, Phillips, Prairie, Stone, White, Woodruff	*Cryptobranchus alleganiensis bishopi* (Ozark Hellbender), *Epioblasma triquetra* (Snuffbox), *Lampsilis abrupta* (Pink Mucket), *Leptodea leptodon* (Scaleshell), *Potamilus capax* (Fat Pocketbook), *Theliderma cylindrica* (Rabbitsfoot)

Nomenclatural changes

Scientific Name on Previous list	Current Scientific Name
Amblyobis rosae	*Troglichthys rosae*
Cumberlandia monodonta	*Margaritifera monodonta*
Epioblasma florentina curtisii	*Epioblasma curtisii*
Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica	*Theliderma cylindrica*

ARKANSAS
ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT

November 18th, 2020

Colonel Eric M. Noe, District Commander
Little Rock District Corps of Engineers
P.O. Box 867
Little Rock, Arkansas 72203-0867

RE: Public Notice: Re-issuance of Nationwide Permits 2020

Dear Colonel Noe,

The Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment (E&E), Division of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has completed its review of the above referenced public notice for re-issuance of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Nationwide Permits (NWPs) for the State of Arkansas.

DEQ has determined discharges from projects covered under these NWPs will comply with water quality requirements according to Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission's (APC&EC) Rule 2.

Therefore, pursuant to §401(a)(1) of the Clean Water Act, the DEQ hereby issues water quality certification for all NWPs, contingent upon the following conditions:

1) An individual water quality certification request must be submitted to DEQ for activities which may impact Extraordinary Resource Waters, Ecologically Sensitive Waterbodies, and Natural Scenic Waterways as identified in APC&EC Rule 2, Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Arkansas. In order to determine compliance with the standards set forth in APC&EC Rule 2.203 for these Outstanding Resource Waters, projects covered under NWPs on these waters shall be reviewed by DEQ.
2) In accordance with APC&EC Rule 2.305, the applicant shall obtain a Short Term Activity Authorization (STAA) when performing work in the wetted area of waters of the state as defined by Arkansas Code Annotated (A.C.A.) §8-4-102. Activities approved under a STAA, are subject to the provisions that no permanent or long-term impairment of beneficial uses is likely to result from such activity. More information can be obtained by visiting https://www.adeq.state.ar.us/water/planning/instream/ or https://eportal.adeq.state.ar.us/ .
3) The applicant shall implement all practicable best management practices (BMPs) to avoid excessive impacts of turbidity to waters of the state, 40 CFR §122.26(c).
4) The applicant will take all reasonable measures to prevent the spillage or leakage of any chemicals, oil, grease, gasoline, diesel, or other fuel in accordance with A.C.A. §8-4-217. In the unlikely event such spillage or leakage occurs, the applicant must contact E&E Emergency Response at 501-682-0716 immediately.

5) If a construction site will disturb equal to or greater than one (1) acre and less than five (5) acres, the applicant shall comply with the requirements in APC&EC Rule 6.203 for Stormwater discharge associated with a small construction site, as defined in APC&EC Rule No. 6. If the construction site will disturb five (5) acres or more, the applicant shall comply with the terms of the Stormwater Construction General Permit Number ARR150000 prior to the start of construction. BMPs must be implemented regardless of the size. More information can be obtained by contacting the NPDES Stormwater Section of DEQ at (501) 682-0623.

Sincerely,



Robert E. Blanz, Ph.D., P.E.
Associate Director, Office of Water Quality

Cc: Sarah Chitwood, Regulatory Division Chief USACE
Lisa Boyle, Project Manager USACE
Wanda Boyd, U.S. EPA

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE
WITH DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PERMIT

Nationwide Permit Number:	NWP 39
Identification Number:	MVK-2022-111
Name of Permittee:	Mr. Stuart Finley Arkansas Storage Centers, IX, LLC
Issued Date:	03/04/2022
Evaluator Name:	Mr. Spencer Dixon
Expiration Date:	03/14/2026
Compliance Location:	**The project is located approximately 2.3 miles NW of the City of Bryant; Section 20, T1S-R14W, Saline County, Arkansas (GPS Coordinates: 34.617419, -92.519811)**

Upon completion of the activity authorized by this permit, sign this certification and return it to the following address:

USACE, Vicksburg District
ATTN: Regulatory Division
4155 Clay Street
Vicksburg, Mississippi 39183-3435

Please note that your permitted activity is subject to a compliance inspection by an Army Corps of Engineers representative. If you fail to comply with this permit, you are subject to permit modification, suspension, or revocation.

I hereby certify that the work authorized by the above-referenced permit has been completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the said permit including any required mitigation.

Date work was completed: _____

_____ _____
Signature of Permittee Date Signed

NOTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OPTIONS AND PROCESS AND REQUEST FOR APPEAL

Applicant: Mr. Stuart Finley, Arkansas Storage Centers, IX, LLC	File Number: MVK-2022-111	Date: 4 MAR 2021

Attached is:		See Section below
	INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)	A
	PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)	B
	PERMIT DENIAL	C
	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	D
✔	PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	E

SECTION I - The following identifies your rights and options regarding an administrative appeal of the above decision. Additional information may be found at http://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/CivilWorks/RegulatoryProgramandPermits/appeals.aspx or Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331.

A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit.

- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- OBJECT: If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may request that the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the form to the district engineer. Your objections must be received by the district engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice, or you will forfeit your right to appeal the permit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the district engineer will evaluate your objections and may: (a) modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of your objections, or (c) not modify the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evaluating your objections, the district engineer will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section B below.

B: PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the permit

- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- APPEAL: If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

C: PERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

D: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or appeal the approved JD or provide new information.

- ACCEPT: You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved JD. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of the date of this notice, means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the approved JD.
- APPEAL: If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the approved JD under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to respond to the Corps regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

SECTION II - REQUEST FOR APPEAL or OBJECTIONS TO AN INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT

REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describe your reasons for appealing the decision or your objections to an initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You may attach additional information to this form to clarify where your reasons or objections are addressed in the administrative record.)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record.

POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION:

If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact:

Spencer Dixon
Senior Environmental Specialist
4155 Clay Street, Room, 233
Vicksburg, Mississippi 39183-3435
Phone: 601 631-7690
Email: John.S.Dixon@usace.army.mil

If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact:
Administrative Appeals Review Officer
Mississippi Valley Division
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
1400 Walnut Street
Vicksburg, MS 39181-0080
601-634-5820

RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations.

Signature of appellant or agent.	Date:	Telephone number:

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, VICKSBURG DISTRICT
4155 CLAY STREET
VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI 39183-3435

March 4, 2022

Regulatory Division

SUBJECT: Department of the Army Regulatory Requirements Associated with the Proposed Arkansas Storage Centers, IX, LLC Project, Located in Section 20, T1S-R14W, Saline County, Arkansas

Mr. Stuart Finley
Arkansas Storage Centers, IX, LLC
Post Office Box 10
Bryant, Arkansas 72089

Dear Mr. Finley:

Based upon the information furnished (enclosure 1), it appears that Department of the Army permit requirements for the proposed work, will be authorized by Nationwide Permit No. 39, as specified in the January 13, 2021, *Federal Register*, Reissuance and Modification of Nationwide Permits; Final Rule; Notice (86 FR 2744), provided the activity complies with the Special Conditions (enclosure 2), the General Conditions (enclosure 3) and the Regional Conditions (enclosure 4). It is your responsibility to read and become familiar with the enclosed conditions in order for you to ensure that the activity authorized herein complies with the Nationwide Permit.

This verification is valid until March 14, 2026, unless the Nationwide Permit is modified, suspended, or revoked. Activities which are under construction, or that are under contract to commence, in reliance upon a Nationwide Permit will remain authorized provided the activity is completed within 12 months of the date of any subsequent modification, expiration, or revocation of the Nationwide Permit. Upon completion of the activity authorized by this Nationwide Permit, please fill out the enclosed certification of compliance (enclosure 5) and return it to our office.

This verification was based upon a preliminary determination that there appear to be jurisdictional areas on the property subject to regulation pursuant to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and/or Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. For your information, I have enclosed a copy of our appeals form (enclosure 6) for this preliminary jurisdictional determination.

This verification of Department of the Army regulatory requirements does not convey any property rights, either in real estate or material or any exclusive privileges and does not authorize any injury to property or invasion of rights or local laws or regulations or obviate the requirement to obtain State or local assent required by law for the activity discussed herein.

Thank you for advising us of your plans. If you change your plans for the proposed work, or if the proposed work does not comply with the conditions of the Nationwide Permit, please contact Mr. Spencer Dixon, telephone (601) 631-7690, or email address: John.S.Dixon@usace.army.mil. In any future correspondence concerning this project, please refer to Identification No. MVK-2022-111.

I am providing a copy of this letter via email to Mr. Charles Best, Hurricane Valley, Incorporated, Post Office Box 118, Bryant, Arkansas 72089.

Sincerely,

Jennifer G. Brown

Jennifer G. Brown
Team Lead, Permit and Evaluation Branch
Regulatory Division

Enclosures